

Border Project

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Table of Contents

Section	Title	Page
Summary		S1
Chapter 1 Purpose and Need		
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Organization of the EIS	2
1.3	Project Location	3
1.4	Purpose and Need	5
1.5	Modified Proposed Action	10
1.6	Project Area Description	18
1.7	Scoping and Public Involvement	21
1.8	Collaboration	22
1.9	Draft EIS Comment Period	23
1.10	Significant Issue	24
1.11	Resources Analyzed	25
1.12	Permits and Authorizations Needed	26
Chapter 2 Comparison of Alternatives		
2.1	Introduction	29
2.2	Development of a Range of Alternatives	29
2.3	Alternatives Considered in Detail	30
2.4	Alternatives Considered and Not Carried Forward for Detailed Analysis	33
2.5	Comparison of Alternatives and Effects	42
2.5.1	Management Areas	42
2.5.2	Comparison of Effects	43
2.5.3	Comparison of Effects on Resources Analyzed	45
Chapter 3 Affected Environment and Environmental Effects		
3.1	Introduction	48
3.2	Adverse Environmental Effects which cannot be Avoided Should the Proposal be Implemented	49
3.3	Relationship between Short-term Uses of the Human Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-term Productivity	50
3.4	Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitment of Resources which would be Involved in the Proposal should it be Implemented	52

Section	Title	Page
3.5	Possible Conflicts between the Proposal and Other Agency or Tribal Land Use Plans, Policies, and Controls within the Project Area	53
3.6	Tribal Communities	53
3.7	Vegetation	57
3.8	Wildlife	76
3.9	Recreation/Social	246
3.10	Scenic Quality	263
3.11	Soils	271
3.12	Water Quality	277
3.13	Fire Risk and Fuels (Air Quality)	305
3.14	Non-native Invasive Plants (NNIP)	314
3.15	Heritage Resources	323
3.16	Economics	325
3.17	Transportation System and Gravel Pits	330
3.18	Special Use Authorizations/Easements	338
3.19	Inventoried Roadless Areas	341
3.20	Significant Issue Summary	348
3.21	Energy Requirements	362
3.22	Natural or Depletable Resource Requirements and Conservation Potential	362
3.23	Urban Quality/Historic and Heritage Resources	363
3.24	Effects on Consumers, Civil Rights, Minority Groups, Women, and Environmental Justice	363
3.25	Effects on Prime Farmland, Rangeland, and Forest Land	364
3.26	Optimality and Appropriateness of Harvest Techniques	364
3.27	Effects on Flood Plains	364
	Index	365
Chapter 4 Lists - Contributors, Distribution, Abbreviations, Glossary		

List of Tables

Section	Table Title	Page
S-1	Comparison of Vegetation Management (Acres)	S-2
S-2	Transportation System Purpose and Need Results by Alternative	S-2
1.1	Modified Proposed Action: Vegetation Management Primary Treatments	13
1.2	Modified Proposed Action: Vegetation Management, Purpose and Need, and Results	14

Section	Table Title	Page
1.3	Modified Proposed Action: Transportation System Management Purpose and Need and Results	17
1.4	Modified Proposed Action National Forest Transportation System Existing, Proposed Changes, and Resulting Miles	17
1.5	Landscape Ecosystems on National Forest System Land in the Project Area (Acres)	20
1.6	Management Areas (MA) within the Border Project Area	21
2.1	Comparison of Vegetation Management (Acres)	31
2.2	Vegetation Purpose and Need Activities/Results by Alternative	32
2.3	Transportation System Purpose and Need Results by Alternative	32
2.4	Proposed Action: Vegetation Management Primary Treatments	33
2.5	Proposed Action: Transportation System Management	34
2.6	Border Project Area National Forest System Roads	34
2.7	Primary Treatment by Management Area in Alternatives 2 and 3	43
2.8	Summary of Environmental Effects	45
3.7.1	Vegetation Composition in the Dry-Mesic Red/ White Pine LE	63
3.7.2	Vegetation Composition in the Jack Pine-Black Spruce LE	64
3.7.3	Age Class Composition in the Dry-Mesic Red and White Pine LE	69
3.7.4	Age Class Composition in the Jack Pine-Black Spruce LE	70
3.7.5	Age Class Composition in the Lowland Conifer-A LE	71
3.7.6	Vegetation Composition on All Ownerships in the Border Project	73
3.7.7	Acres in the Young Age Class (0-9) on All Ownership in the Project Area	74
3.7.8	Age Class Composition for State of Minnesota Land within the Project Area	74
3.7.9	Age Class Composition for St. Louis County Land within the Project Area	75
3.7.10	Age Class Composition for Private Ownership Land within the Project Area	75
3.8.1	Management Indicator Habitats	77
3.8.2	Declines in Young Forest MIHs-Alternative 1	85
3.8.3	Acres of Aspen – Birch and Spruce – Fir Habitat	85
3.8.4	Management Indicator 1, Upland Forest Under All Alternatives	86
3.8.5	Young Forest Under All Alternatives	86
3.8.6	Forest-wide Status of Management Indicator Habitats in the Dry-mesic Red and White Landscape Ecosystem	88
3.8.7	Forest-wide Status of Management Indicator Habitats in the Jack Pine Block Spruce Landscape Ecosystem	90
3.8.8	Project-wide Spatial Pattern Management Indicator Habitats (11-13)	93
3.8.9	Forest-wide Effects to Large Patch Condition (Management Induced Edge – MIH, and Interior Forest – MIH 12)	97
3.8.10	Forest-wide Effects to Land Patch Condition	98

Section	Table Title	Page
3.8 BE1	Sensitive Species Known or Suspected Occurrence in Border Project	106
3.8 BE2	Gray Wolf Effects to Suitable Habitat	115
3.8 BE3	Heather Vole Effects to Suitable Habitat	119
3.8 BE4	Goshawk Biological Function of the 3 Components of Goshawk Home Range	122
3.8 BE5	Goshawk Indicators of Direct and Indirect Effects to Northern Goshawk	124
3.8 BE6	Goshawk Indicator of Cumulative Effects to Goshawk Habitat	125
3.8 BE7	Boreal Owl Effects to Suitable Habitat	129
3.8 BE8	Olive-sided Flycatcher Effect to Suitable Habitat	132
3.8 BE9	Black throated Blue Warbler Indicators of Direct and Indirect Effects	135
3.8 BE10	Bay-breasted Warbler Indicators of Direct and Indirect Effects	139
3.8 BE11	Bald Eagle Indicators of Direct and Indirect Effects	143
3.8 BE12	Connecticut Warbler Direct and Indirect Effects	147
3.8 BE13	Three-toed Woodpecker Direct and Indirect Effects	150
3.8 BE14	Great Gray Owl Direct and Indirect Effects	154
3.8 BE15	Mancinus Alpine and Jutta Arctic Direct and Indirect Effects	159
3.8 BE16	Nabokov's Blue Butterfly and Freija's Grizzled Skipper	163
3.8 BE17	Acres of Existing and Proposed Roads in Potential Quebec Emerald Habitat	167
3.8 BE18	RFSS Plants Indicators 107 used for RFSS Plants Effects Analysis	182
3.8 BA1	Ecological Setting	210
3.8 BA2	Overview of Species' Affected Environment, Lynx	211
3.8 BA3	Overview of Species' Affected Environment, Gray Wolf	211
3.8 BA4	Project Activities	212
3.8 BA5	Analysis Indicators Selection of Rationale for Exclusion, Canada Lynx	220
3.8 BA6	Lynx Habitat In an Unsuitable Condition on all Ownerships (Indicator 1)	222
3.8 BA7	Cumulative Change to Unsuitable Lynx Habitat Condition in 10 years on NFS Lands, Indicator 12, First Decade of Implementation	223
3.8 BA8	Indicator 1a, Snowshoe Hare Habitat on NF lands in Project Area	223
3.8 BA9	Indicator 3, Denning Habitat in Patches >5 Acres on FN Lands in the Project Area	223
3.8 BA10	Acres and Percent of Lynx Habitat with Adequate Canopy Cover on NF Lands in Project Area	224
3.8 BA11	Indicator 10 Acres in which within Stand Structure that would be Increased within and Outside Harvest Units	224
3.8 BA12	Indicator 13 Road and Snow Compacted Trail Density	225
3.8 BA13	Indicator 7 Temporary Roads, OML-1 and OML-2 Roads	225

Section	Table Title	Page
3.8 BA14	Compliance of Alternatives with Forest Plan Direction, Canada Lynx	226
3.8 BA15	Determination of Effect of Alternative on Lynx Proposed Critical Habitat	230
3.8 BA16	Analysis Indictors Section and Rationale for Exclusion, Gray Wolf	235
3.8 BA17	Existing Conditions and Effects to Gray Wolf	236
3.8 BA18	Compliance of alternatives with Forest Plan Direction Gray Wolf	237
3.8 BA19	Effects of Alternatives on Gray Wolf	239
3.8 BA20	Effects to Wolf Critical Habitat	240
3.8 BA21	Mitigation and Design Features	241
3.9.1	Number of Treatment Units within 1 Mile of Areas of Concern to the Public and Number of Days needed to Complete Treatment	257
3.9.2	Summary Comparison of Indicators	260
3.10.1	Viewsheds within the Border Project Area	266
3.10.2	Total Management Areas (MA) Acres and Percent within the Border Project Area	266
3.10.3	Indicator for Scenery Affects in High SIO Areas	268
3.10.4	Indicator for Scenery Affects in Management Areas	269
3.11.1	Acres of Harvest, Prescribed Fire, and Site Preparation on Harvested	273
3.11.2	Acres Impacted by Landings and Skid Trails	274
3.11.3	Miles and Acres of Road added to the National Forest Road System	275
3.12.1	Source of Information for Estimating Age of Forest Cover/Young	280
3.12.2	Source of Information for Estimating Upland vs. Lowland	281
3.12.3	Sub-watersheds in Border Project in Common with Echo Trail Project	283
3.12.4	Mile of Existing and Proposed Roads	288
3.12.5	Project Area Road Miles within 1 Mile of BWCAW or VPN	289
3.12.6	Number of Stream Crossings by Road/Route Type and Alternative	290
3.12.7	Number of Stream Crossings 1 Mile of BWCAW or VPN	290
3.12.8	General Watershed Data Existing Condition and Proposed Alternatives for 6 th Level Watersheds Intersecting the Border Project	296
3.13.1	High Fire Risk Acres after Treatment, Displayed by Ownership and Alternative	311
3.13.2	Medium Fire Risk Acres after Treatment, Displayed by Ownership and Alternative	311
3.13.3	Low Fire Risk Acres after Treatment, Displayed by Ownership and Alternative	311
3.14.1	Non-Native Invasive Plants Known in the Analysis Area for BP	316

Section	Table Title	Page
3.14.2	Indicators for NNIP Analysis	320
3.16.1	Financial Efficiency Summary Base on All Actions	328
3.16.2	Returns to Local Government and the US Treasury	329
3.17.1	Road Types and Definitions	331
3.17.2	Transportation System by Alternative (Miles)	333
3.17.3	Roads Proposed for Decommissioning	335
3.17.4	Gravel Pits in the Border Project Area	337
3.18.1	Proposed Special Use Authorizations	339
3.19.1	Echo River Inventoried Roadless Area	342
3.19.2	Criteria for Potential Wilderness Areas East of the 100 th Meridian	343
3.19.3	Acres and Percentage of Vegetation Management in Echo River RA	345

List of Figures

1-1	Typical Forest Stands in the Border Project area.	3
1-2	Forest Management interpretive Signs are Proposed in the Vermilion Falls Recreation Area.	13
1-3	Land ownership	19
3.7.1	Changes to Conifer Forest Types in Border Project Area	57
3.7.2	Change in the Young Age Class (0-9 years) in Border Project Area	57
3.9.1	Example Before an Effective Road Closure	254
3.9.2	Example After an Effective Road Closure	255
3.9.3	Example After Road Closures' Implementation	255
3.12.1	Border Project Indicator 3	284
3.12.2	Border Project 4 th Order Watershed General Flow Patterns	285
3.12.3	Border Project 4 th Order Watersheds and General Flow Patterns	286
3.12.4	Example of Stream Crossing Meeting Current Design Standards of Inga Creek, Superior National Forest	292
3.12.5	Indicator 3	295
3.17.1	Example Before an Effective Road Closure	335
3.17.2	Example After an Effective Road Closure	335

Maps

1	Border Project Area	4
2	Alternative 2	27
3	Alternative 3	28
4	Landscape Ecosystems	46
5	Management Areas	47
6	Inventoried Roadless Area	347
7	Lynx Analysis Unites	245

Appendices

Appendix A	Vegetation Treatment Definitions and Information
Appendix B	Forest Plan Operational Standards and Guidelines
Appendix C	Stand Treatments and Stand Specific Implementation Direction
Appendix D	Monitoring Plan
Appendix G	Past, Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions
Appendix H	Scoping Comments Summary
Appendix I	Management Indicator Habitats 1 – 10 Forest-wide

Appendices Tables

Section	Table Title	Page
A-1	Vegetation Treatment Codes and Definitions	A-1
B-1	Key to Numbering with Example	B-1
G-WS-8	Limitations on Management Activities	B-4
G-WS-8a	Activity Limit Codes used in Table G-WS-8	B-5
S-TM-4	Minimum Trees Per Acre at 5 Years of Age Necessary to Adequately Restock Forests after Tree Harvest	B-7
C-1	Stand Specific Treatment Codes and Definitions	C-1
C-2	Border Project Actions Alternatives Proposed Treatments and Forest Types	C-5
G-1	Forest Projects with Proposal/Decision	G-1
G-2	Past Fuel Treatment Projects	G-2
G-3	Future Prescribed Fire Projects for Fuel Reduction	G-2
G-4	Past Wildland Fires	G-3
G-5	NFS Land that could be Conveyed to Crane Lake Sustainable Land Corporation	G-5
G-6	Crane Lake Sustainable Land Corporation Land that could Become NFS	G-5
I-1	Management Indicator Habitats: Forest Types	I-1
I-2	Management Indicator Habitat: Age Groupings for Forest Types	I-2
I-3	Sussessional Model for Jack Pine/Black Spruce LE, and Dry Mesic Red and White Pine Le	I-2
I-4	Management Indicator Habitats 1-10	I-6

Note: The complete Draft Environmental Impact Statement may be downloaded from the Superior National Forest webpage www.fs.fed.us/r9/superior